

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/727,328	Applicant(s) KIRCHGEORG ET AL.	
	Examiner Carl H. Layno	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

 (1) Carl H. Layno.

 (2) Joseph Berghammer.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 27 July 2006
Time: 1PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Anderson 4,197,842 and others

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

No agreement was reached regarding the re-wording of claim 11 for an Examiner's Amendment..

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)